NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

INVESTIGATIVE CLOSING REPORT

TITLE: Theft	CASE NUMBER: 09-001	
DATE OF REPORT: J	uly 27, 2009	Additional agency to a service beauti
REPORT PREPARED	BY: SSA	CLOSID
Allegation:	,,,	Palan Perusa ini ngungi APA natarah penanjanggan pipaban Palang
, wa	09, the OIG received a complaint that as circumventing Amtrak policies and procedur was said to have submitted expense	res by using AT "training funds" reports for his "PhD classes"
SUMMARY OF INVES	STIGATION:	
expenses relevant to University of Maryland. assistance was denied received approval for the	by Department, revealed that she had learned his college tuition for a post graduate curred. She revealed that this was done after his apply the Human Resources Department. He training funds to cover the tuition expenses on the Spring Semester. These courses were bei	riculum he was taking at the olication for college educational subsequently applied for and of two courses, one in the Fall
Subsequent interviews	of, Chief Informational Officer Ed Tra Paula Porter ("Porter") and	ainor ("Trainor"), Vice President
that had in fac was determined also th	for Amtrak Information Technology to sought and received approval from Trainor to hat although the policy, as it was written, containing to pay for courses that were being take	o apply for the training funds. It ained some ambiguity, training
CONCLUSION:		
Management ensure order to clarify as to we employee takes in furt	d to Trainor and Porter on June 4, 2009, that the Employee Training and Career Development a department can utilize training fundaments of a degree. Also, it was recommendative and regulations as they pertain to	elopment policy be revised in ds to pay for courses that an nded that Amtrak should fully

In response to the referral, Porter (in a letter dated July 24, 2009) advised that HR revised the Employee Training and Career Development Policy, PERS 7.5, to clarify the intent of the policy, and

to outline the possible federal tax implications as a result of improper use of training funds for educational assistance. Porter advised in her letter that HR had provided Payroll "with the appropriate information so applicable taxes can be applied for the referenced educational disbursements" as it applied to provide the provided Payroll "with the appropriate information so applicable taxes can be applied for the referenced educational disbursements" as it applied to provide the provided Payroll		
Trainor's letter (dated July 17, 2009), in response to the referral, advised that he had reviewed the two recommendations with Porter, and indicated that he understood the revisions made by HR, and was aware that Porter had discussed the tax issues with Payroll. Trainor also stated that he reviewed the issues with		
RECOMMENDATION:		
It is the recommendation of this writer that this case be closed with no further action warranted.		
Supervisor's Signature: Deputy Inspector General/Counsel: Date: 8/5/09 Date: 8/12/2010		
Deputy Inspector General/Counsel: Date: 8/12/2012		